# Oral Immuno therapy for treatmen of Food allergy Evidence base

MD Nguyen Hong Van Khanh Children's Hospital number 2 Gastrointestinal department



### Original 16<sup>th</sup> February 2013

Data available from 83 WAO member countries and 6 non-member countries #

# Epidemiology

Studies reporting Food Allergy Prevalence in preschool children < 5 years

20.00





Studies reporting Food Allergy Prevalence for children of all ages (e.g. 0-18 years)



## Prevalence of food allergy in Viet Nam



### Original 16<sup>th</sup> February 2013

Country	Prevalence of clinical food allergy in last 10 years (%)			Method of determining prevalence, population	Change in prevalence	Age group most affected by any		
	All ages	< 5 year olds	≥ 5 year olds	size (and reference to support response if available)	in last 10 years?	change?		
Vietnam	-	-	-	**No population prevalence data reported/found	-	-		
India	-	-	-	**No population prevalence data reported/found		$\frown$		
Hong Kong	4.8% <sup>21</sup>	4.6% <sup>7</sup> - 5.3% <sup>21</sup>	4.5% <sup>21</sup>	<ul> <li><sup>21</sup> Population based survey of 7,393 children aged 0-14 years. History of convincing adverse reactions to foods.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Community survey of 3827 preschool children (2–7 yr), parent-reported reactions (8.1%) and parent-reported doctor diagnosed reactions (4.6%)</li> </ul>	Increased*	1-5 years*		
Singapore	-	1.2% (shellfish); 0.7% <sup>22</sup> (nuts)	0.3% <sup>23</sup> (fish); 5.2% (shellfish); 0.54% <sup>22</sup> (nuts)	No data found on overall FA prevalence. Nut and shellfish allergy prevalence in 4-6 year olds (n=4390 and 14-16 year olds (n=6450) participating in regional survey Fish allergy prevalence in the same population study.	Increased*	1-5 years*		
Philippines	-	-	2.3% <sup>23</sup> (fish); 5.1% (shellfish); 0.7% <sup>22</sup> (nuts)	No data found on overall FA prevalence. <sup>23</sup> Fish allergy prevalence in 11,434 14-16 year olds participating in regional survey <sup>22</sup> Nut and shellfish allergy prevalence in the same population study	Increased	-5 years*		

## CDC anoun about food allergy

Figure 3. Percentage of children under age 18 years with asthma or other reported allergic conditions in the previous 12 months, by reported food allergy status: United States, 2007



Figure 4. Average number of hospital discharges per year among children under age 18 years with any diagnosis related to food allergy: United States, 1998–2006



### Percentage of children with caregiver report of specific allergyrelated needs and problems.



2016 by American Academy of Pediatrics

Lucy A. Bilaver et al. Pediatrics doi:10.1542/peds.2015-3678

## Food Allergy: Immune System mediated Adverse Food Reaction

Mix			
• ATOPIC DERMATITIS	Non IgE		
• EOSINOPHILIC GASTRO- INTESTINAL DISORDERS	PROTEIN-INDUCED     PROCTOCOLITIS/	- IgE	
	ENTEROCOLITIS	• URTICARIA	
	CELIAC DISEASE	ANGIOEDEMA	
	CONTACT DERMATITIS	• VOMITING	
	• DERMATITIS	• DIARRHEA	
	HERPETIFORMIS	ANAPHYLAXIS	
	HEINER'S SYNDROME	ORAL ALLERGY SYNDROME	
		FOOD-DEPENDENT EXERCISE INDUCED ANAPHYLAXIS	



## Patterns of response to food OIT



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Oral Immunotherapy for Treatment of Egg Allergy in Children

A. Wesley Burks, M.D., Stacie M. Jones, M.D., Robert A. Wood, M.D., David M. Fleischer, M.D., Scott H. Sicherer, M.D., Robert W. Lindblad, M.D., Donald Stablein, Ph.D., Alice K. Henning, M.S., Brian P. Vickery, M.D.,

- Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study, 55 children, 5 to 11 years of age, with egg allergy received oral immunotherapy (40 children) or placebo (15).
- Initial dose-escalation, build-up, and maintenance phases were followed by an oral food challenge with egg-white powder at 10 months and at 22 months
- Children who successfully passed the challenge at 22 months discontinued oral immunotherapy and avoided all egg consumption for 4 to 6 weeks.
- Children who passed this challenge at 24 months were placed on a diet with ad libitum egg consumption and were evaluated for continuation of sustained unresponsiveness at 30 months and 36 months

Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study N Engl J Med 2012; 367:233-243 July 19, 2012

## Long-term treatment with egg oral immunotherapy enhances sustained unresponsiveness that persists after cessation of therapy



Stacie M. Jones, MD,<sup>a</sup> A. Wesley Burks, MD,<sup>b</sup> Corinne Keet, MD,<sup>c</sup> Brian P. Vickery, MD,<sup>b</sup> Amy M. Scurlock, MD,<sup>a</sup> Robert A. Wood, MD,<sup>c</sup> Andrew H. Liu, MD,<sup>d</sup> Scott H. Sicherer, MD,<sup>e</sup> Alice K. Henning, MS,<sup>f</sup> Robert W. Lindblad, MD,<sup>f</sup> Peter Dawson, PhD,<sup>f</sup> Cecilia Berin, PhD,<sup>e</sup> David M. Fleischer, MD,<sup>d</sup> Donald Y. M. Leung, MD,<sup>d</sup> Marshall Plaut, MD,<sup>g</sup> and Hugh A. Sampson, MD,<sup>e</sup> for the Consortium of Food Allergy Research (CoFAR) *Little Rock, Ark, Chapel Hill, NC, Baltimore, Rockville, and Bethesda, Md, Denver, Colo, and New York, NY* 

#### Food challenge-defined clinical outcomes with longterm eOIT

Time from eOIT initiation	Desensitization	SU
Year 2*	30/40 (75%)	11/40 (27.5%)
Year 3	31/40 (77.5%)	18/40 (45.0%)
Year 4	31/40 (77.5%)	20/40 (50.0%)

Previously reported in Burks et al.9

Among the 22 eOIT-treated subjects treated after 2 years, 41% (95% CI, 21% to 64%) achieved SU. One eOIT-treated subject in whom the 2-year tolerance OFC failed did not resume eOIT dosing.

### J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016;137:1117-27.

# Identify immunologic markers associated with the treatment

Table 3. Median Levels of Immune Markers in the Oral-Immunotherapy Group, According to the Responses to Three Oral Food Challenges.

Variable	Month 10				Month 22		Month 24		
	Pass (N = 22)	Fail (N=18)*	P Value	Pass (N = 30)†	Fail (N=10)‡	P Value	Pass (N=11)∬	Fail (N = 29)¶	P Value
Month 10									
Wheal diameter on skin-prick testing (mm)	3.8	6.0	0.10	4.0	7.0	0.03	4.0	5.5	0.32
Egg-specific IgG4 antibody (mg/liter)	52.0	14.2	0.007	42.6	7.6	0.005	54.8	22.4	0.02
Total IgE antibody (kU/liter)	1205.9	926.4	0.68	1177.6	859.6	0.77	1246.5	915.2	0.67
Egg-specific IgE antibody (kU/liter)	7.5	6.1	0.38	5.3	13.3	0.02	5.2	6.8	0.35
CD63+ basophils (%)									
0.1 $\mu$ g of egg extract	2.1	9.7	0.05	1.9	29.3	0.008	2.5	2.8	0.70
0.01 µg of egg extract	1.0	2.7	0.19	0.5	6.1	0.04	1.4	0.9	0.62

# Identify immunologic markers associated with the treatment



## Oral Immunotherapy With Cow's Milk

Safety and Efficacy Profile and Immunological Changes Associated With Oral Immunotherapy for IgE-Mediated Cow's Milk Allergy in Children: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

- The 6 studies were randomized controlled trials conducted between 2007 and 2012
- 138 patients
- IgE-mediated allergy was confirmed by double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge in 4 of the studies

Study		%
	RR (95% CI)	Weight
Children not able to tolerate 75 mL of milk at baseline		
Longo et al (2008)	23.00 (1.42-373.46)	14.20
Martorell et al (2011)	8.07 (2.77-23.50)	20.51
Pajno et al (2010)	22.50 (1.45-349.14)	14.37
Salmivesi et al (2012)	13.24 (0.88-198.67)	14.49
Skripak et al (2008) -	8.00 (0.52-123.68)	14.38
Subtotal (I-squared = .0%, P=.917)	10.26 (4.41-23.83)	77.95
Children able to tolerate 75 mL of milk at baseline		
Morisset et al (2007)		22.05
Subtotal (I-squared = .%, P=.)	1.48 (1.07-2.04)	22.05
Overall (I-squared = 87.6%, P=.000)	8.01 (1.39-46.03)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
	1	
Figure 3. Results of effectiveness of oral immunotherapy with cow's	s milk.	

# Safety of food OIT

Table 4. Oral Doses Associated with Symptoms during the First 10 Months, According to Study Group and Phase of Therapy.\*

	Total	Any		Duration		
Group and Phase of Therapy;	Doses	Symptom	Symptom Type	of>30 Min	Treated	Severity:

TABLE II. OIT doses (percentages)\* associated with symptoms during years 3 and 4 of the study

	No. of	Any symptom	Symptom type							Persist		Symptom severity		
Visit type	doses		Oral/phar	yngeal	Skin	Respiratory	Gastrointes	tinal	Other	>30 min	Treated	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Clinic	194	14.9	11.3	3	0.5	1.5	1.0		1.5	0.0	1.0	4.1	0.0	0.0
Home	8731	4.7	2.2	2	1.1	2.1	0.2		0.2	2.0	1.6	3.0	0.0	0.0
All	8925	5.0	2.4	t i	1.1	2.1	0.3		0.2	1.9	1.6	3.0	0.0	0.0
	0	ral-immunother	apy group											
*With the ex	ception of	number of dos	es, values are	e percent	ages of d	oses.								
		Initial-day dos	e escalation	347	27.4	13.8 8	.1 9.8	9.5	3.5	8.4	7.2 16.7	3.7		
		Build-up		730	35.9	19.7 5	.8 13.4	8.8	3.2	4.5	3.7 22.1	1.9		
		Maintenance		10,783	24.2	15.1 4	.2 7.4	5.1	2.1	4.7	3.5 13.7	0.6		
		All		11,860	25.0	15.4 4	.4 7.8	5.5	2.2	4.8	3.6 14.3	0.7		

\* GI denotes gastrointestinal.

† Doses for the initial-day dose escalation and build-up phases were given at the clinic under medical observation. Doses for the maintenance



#### RESEARCH

**Open Access** 

## Safety and feasibility of oral immunotherapy to multiple allergens for food allergy

Philippe Bégin<sup>1\*</sup>, Lisa C Winterroth<sup>1</sup>, Tina Dominguez<sup>1</sup>, Shruti P Wilson<sup>1</sup>, Liane Bacal<sup>1</sup>, Anjuli Mehrotra<sup>1</sup>,

Participants underwent double-blind placebo-controlled food challenges (DBPCFC) up to a cumulative dose of 182 mg of food protein to peanut followed by other nuts, sesame, dairy or egg



# Improving the safety of oral immunotherapy for food allergy.

#### Different routes of exposure

- Oral
- Sublingual
- Epicutaneous
- Rectal
- Subcutaneous

#### Hypoallergenic products

- Naturally occurring:
  - · egg/milk in baked foods
  - boiled peanut
- Recombinant
- Peptides

#### Adjuvants

- Anti-IgE
- Pre/probiotics
- Bacteria
- Antihistamines
- LTRA

Figure 1 Strategies under investigation to improve the efficacy and safety of OIT. LRTA, leukotriene receptor antagonists.

<u>Pediatr Allergy Immunol.</u> 2016 Mar;27(2):117-25. doi: 10.1111/pai.12510. Epub 2015 Dec 22. Improving the safety of oral immunotherapy for food allergy. <u>Vazquez-Ortiz M<sup>1</sup></u>, <u>Turner PJ<sup>1,2</sup></u>.

# Improving the safety of oral immunotherapy for food allergy.

Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2016 Mar 22. doi: 10.1111/pai.12567

Anti-IgE-assisted desensitization to egg and cow's milk in patients refractory to conventional

oral **immunotherapy**.

Martorell-Calatayud C, Michavila-Gómez A, Martorell-Aragonés A, Molini-Menchón N, Cerdá-Mir JC, Félix-Toledo R, De Las Marinas-Álvarez MD.

J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016 Apr;137(4):1103-1110.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.10.005. Epub 2015 Nov 12. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of omalizumab combined with oral immunotherapy for the treatment of cow's milk allergy. Wood RA1, Kim JS2, Lindblad R3, Nadeau K4, Henning AK3, Dawson P3, Plaut M5, Sampson HA6.

Clin Exp Allergy. 2015 Jun;45(6):1071-84. doi: 10.1111/cea.12528. Identification of novel peptide biomarkers to predict safety and efficacy of cow's milk oral immunotherapy by peptide microarray. Martínez-Botas J<sup>1,2</sup>, Rodríguez-Álvarez M<sup>3</sup>, Cerecedo I<sup>4</sup>, Vlaicu C<sup>4</sup>, Diéguez MC<sup>4</sup>, Gómez-Coronado D<sup>1,2</sup>, Fernández-Rivas M<sup>3</sup>, de la Hoz B<sup>4</sup>.

# Omalizumab improvements in measurements of safety but not in outcomes of efficacy

TABLE III. Percentage of doses per subject with dosing symptoms during the escalation period

	Treatment group									
		Omalizumab (n	= 27)	Placebo (n = 28)						
MOIT dose-related symptoms	Median	Lower quartile	Upper quartile	Median	Lower quartile	Upper quartile	P value			
Total no. of doses	198.0	190.0	209.0	225.0	200.0	239.0	.008			
Any symptoms	2.1	0.5	12.0	16.1	7.8	38.9	.0005			
Any symptoms excluding oral/pharyngeal	0.5	0.0	3.3	8.6	4.1	18.9	.0001			
Duration >30 min	0.4	0.0	1.0	3.0	1.2	4.3	.0001			
Treatment used	0.0	0.0	1.6	3.8	1.5	5.8	.0008			
Oral/pharyngeal symptoms	0.6	0.0	10.9	8.8	2.7	29.5	.0025			
Skin symptoms	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.1	0.6	2.5	.0004			
Respiratory symptoms	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.5	1.7	4.8	<.0001			
GI symptoms	Ô.Ô	ð.ð	1.9	3.0	1.2	7.3	.661			
Other symptoms	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.4	0.2	4.0	.008			
Mild symptoms	0.5	0.0	3.3	7.9	3.5	18.1	.0001			
Moderate symptoms	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	1.3	.0005			
Severe symptoms	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.35			
Treated with epinephrine	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.052			

GI, Gastrointestinal.

J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016 Apr;137(4):1103-1110.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.10.005. Epub 2015 Nov 12.

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of omalizumab combined with oral immunotherapy for the treatment of cow's milk allergy. Wood RA1, Kim JS2,

## OIT ready for standard clinical practice?

- Effective and reasonably safe alternative to the avoidance diet
- Best safest and most efficacious clinical protocol has not yet been established

## Thank you for your attention